

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

he

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/519,214 12/27/2004 Eberhard Ammermann 5000-0110PUS1 7324  2292 7590 09/20/2005 EXAMINER  BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747  FALLS CHURCH VA 22040 0747  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747  QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	10/519,214	12/27/2004	Eberhard Ammermann	5000-0110PUS1	7324
PO BOX 747	2292 759	0 09/20/2005	EXAMINER		
A POT LOUIS DA POTO AUTO CONTRACTO				QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
		PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/519,214 AMMERMANN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sabiha Qazi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,7 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Application Papers	-				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. 🦠				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

## **Non-Final Office Action**

Claims 1-9 are pending. Preliminary amendments are entered. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 8 are allowed. Claim 3 is objected because it depends on a rejected claim. Claims 2, 6,7 and 9 are rejected.

Closest prior art is EP 0526 206. The reference teach the combination of the compounds of formula (I) and dithianon and various other fungicidal compounds to enhance the fungicidal activity. Compounds of formula (I) of the reference contain a cyclopentane ring; none of the present compounds contain this ring. Furthermore, prior art does not teach synergistic mixture of fungicidal combination of dithianon (A) and azoles derivatives (B) as presently claimed.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 12/27/2004 and 3/28/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 9 provides for the use of the compounds of the formulae II, and I but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what

method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Following reasons apply:
- 3. In claim 2 "as azole compound II" is confusing, it may be deleted.

  Claims 6 and 7 are improperly dependent on two claims 1 and 6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,214

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thursday, September 15, 2005

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4